

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,368	12/30/2003	Glenn C. Tyrrell	GJEL:0004/FLE/RAR 7784 HMJ0358		
27896	7590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER		
EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC 1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD			SNAY, JEFFREY R		
SUITE 400	INCH BOODE VAND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCKVILLI	E, MD 20850		1743		
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-				<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-		
		10/748,368	TYRRELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey R. Snay	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	,		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		merits is		
		.x parte Quayle, 1950 O.D. 11, 45	00.0.210.			
	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-34 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• •		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	·152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each of claims 1-20 recites an apparatus that includes as a component thereof the sample material to be investigated by the apparatus. However, such sample would constitute an intended use of the apparatus rather than an element. For example, it is apparent that the claimed apparatus would not be sold or marketed with a sample material already in place. Because the sample material is not readily identifiable as a structural component of the apparatus, but rather an intended application during use, the present recitation of a test material renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 9 is further indefinite in that it improperly refers to a Table in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,368 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-11 and 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johannsen et al ('744) in view of applicant's admission of the prior art.

Johannsen et al disclose an apparatus and method for analyzing a sample material, such as a gel plate, in which a transilluminator is augmented with an exchangeable wavelength shifting filter screen. Johannsen et al teach that provision of the disclosed screen enables UV incident light to be shifted to another wavelength corresponding to an absorption wavelength of a particular sample under study. The wavelength shifting screen is disclosed as a phosphor coating, where the particular phosphors are selected to provide the desired emitted wavelength to the sample.

Johannsen et al teach in this regard that a wide variety of wavelengths, both visual and ultraviolet, may be provided (col. 1, lines 27 et seq.) It is further disclosed that the exchangeability of the screen enables selection of a number of different wavelengths.

The device and method of Johannsen et al differ from the present claims in that the reference fails to specify the chosen phosphors, or the corresponding emission wavelengths. However, applicant admits at page 2 of the specification that the

Application/Control Number: 10/748,368

Art Unit: 1743

presently recited phosphor screen has been known in the art since the 1950s.

Applicant further admits at page 8 of the specification that the presently claimed phosphors were conventional, and a specific phosphor is selected by comparing its emission spectrum with the excitation spectrum of the sample (page 5). Thus, applicant admits that known phosphors were selected according to the particular sample under study.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to supplement the teaching of Johannsen et al by providing particular phosphors, which were conventional and available, that exhibit the desired spectral properties.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant admits that Johannsen discloses phosphor scintillators, but asserts that the reference does not disclose scintillators having a bandwidth of lambda s1 to lambda s2. The argument is not persuasive because (1) the recited variables are undefined in the claims and (2) the rejection is based on obviousness combined with applicant's admission of the prior art, which acknowledges prior art use of the same phosphors embodied by the claims..
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/748,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743